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## SUMMARY

Consumer dietary preferences influence the amount of land needed for food production. We modeled the impact of fat and meat consumption on land requirements for food production in the context of New York State (NYS). This publication contains the input data used to create a spreadsheet-based model and the output data from the program. Per capita land resource requirements were calculated for 42 diets ranging from 0 to $381 \mathrm{~g} /$ day ( 0 to $12 \mathrm{oz} /$ day) of meat and eggs and 52 to $117 \mathrm{~g} /$ day of fat ( 20 to $45 \%$ of total calories). All diets contain equal numbers of total calories, and many meet national dietary recommendations. The potential human carrying capacity of the NYS land base was then derived based on recent estimates of available agricultural land.

## PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

Consumer food preferences influence the amount of land needed for food production and thereby impact the number of people that can be supported by the Earth's natural resources (human carrying capacity). Animal products, in particular, tend to increase the amount of land needed for food production because consuming foods from herbivores is less efficient energetically than consuming plant foods directly. Proponents of plant-based diets have long argued that diets rich in animal products are wasteful of agricultural resources and contribute to the persistence of hunger. Animal scientists have long countered with the argument that livestock, especially ruminants, make use of forages and food byproducts that are inedible to humans. Moreover, they contend that the feeding of grains to livestock serves as a market for excess grain production and keeps production of food grains high. This debate continues to smolder today.

This research aimed at addressing one aspect of the dialogue over the proper place of animal products in the human diet: the land requirements of food production and their impact on human carrying capacity. Unlike earlier research, the efficiency of animal production was not assessed in isolation. Rather, the land requirements of food production were assessed in the context of a complete diet. In addition, the impact of land requirements on carrying capacity takes into account the varying quality of the available agricultural land. The analysis was conducted for a relatively small geographic area, New York State. Thus, conclusions drawn from the study are most appropriately extended only to regions with similar climates, soils, and landscapes.

A spreadsheet model was used to calculate annual per capita land resource requirements for 42 different diets varied in terms of total
fat and total servings of meat. The diets were designed to represent a wide range of food consumption patterns - from low-fat, lacto-vegetarian to high-fat, meat-rich omnivorous. Diets contained 0 to 381 g /day ( 0 to $12 \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{day}$ ) of meat and eggs, 52 to 117 $\mathrm{g} /$ day of fat ( 20 to $45 \%$ of total calories), and $2,308 \mathrm{kcal} /$ day. The balance of energy needs was met from the other major food groups. The design of diets is based on the complete diet approach outlined by Peters et al. (2003). However, not all diets met the recommendations of the Food Guide Pyramid.

Data on crop yields, livestock feed requirements, and food system losses were used to calculate the area of land required per capita to produce each diet in New York State. These estimates were then used to calculate the number of people which could be fed from New York State's agricultural land based on the quality and quantity of land available.

The model relies on input from a variety of published data sources on food nutrient content, food consumption, food system losses, processing conversions, crop yields, and agricultural land use. In addition, it uses expert input on the feed requirements of livestock in the Northeast U.S. The input data fed into the model is reported here in detail in Tables 1 through 9. Output data from the model is also provided in Tables 10 through 13. A detailed explanation of the rationale behind this research, a full description of the methods, a summary of the results, and an interpretation of the findings has been submitted as a research paper to Agronomy Journal. The tables reported here are intended to serve as a companion to the formal research report for readers who are interested in specific details of the model or who wish to peruse the raw data.

Table 1. Constituent foods included in the diets and their average caloric value per serving ${ }^{1}$, by food group and food subgroup. ${ }^{2}$

| Commodity | Serving description | NDB number ${ }^{3}$ | Serving size | Energy density | Relative preference | Energy per serving |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (grams) | (kcal/ 100g) | (percent) | (kcal) |
| GRAINS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat | 1/6 cup flour ${ }^{4}$ | 20481, 20080 | 20.4 | 352 | 87.8 | 71.8 |
| Rye | 1/6 cup flour, medium | 20064 | 17.0 | 354 | 0.7 | 60.2 |
| Corn | 1/6 cup meal, whole | 20020 | 20.3 | 362 | 7.0 | 73.6 |
| Oats | $1 / 4$ cup cereal ${ }^{5}$ | 08120 | 20.3 | 384 | 4.4 | 77.8 |
| All grains | Average value, weighted |  |  |  |  | 72.1 |
| VEGETABLES, SUMMER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carrots, fresh | $1 / 2$ cup chopped | 11124 | 64.0 | 43 | 33.8 | 27.5 |
| Endive/escarole, fresh | 1 cup shredded | 11213 | 50.0 | 17 | 0.8 | 8.5 |
| Lettuce, fresh | 1 cup shredded | 11251 | 56.0 | 14 | 51.1 | 7.8 |
| Spinach, fresh | 1 cup | 11457 | 30.0 | 23 | 9.4 | 6.9 |
| Squash, winter, fresh | 1/2 cup baked squash | 11644 | 102.5 | 37 | 4.9 | 37.9 |
| Green leafy \& yellow vegetables | Average value, weighted |  |  |  |  | 15.9 |
| Green peas, frozen | $1 / 2$ cup boiled, drained | 11313 | 80.0 | 78 | 5.0 | 62.4 |
| Green peas, canned | $1 / 2$ cup drained | 11308 | 85.0 | 69 | 5.0 | 58.7 |
| Potatoes, fresh | $1 / 2$ cup diced, boiled | 11365 | 75.0 | 87 | 71.2 | 65.3 |
| Sweet corn, fresh | 1 ear boiled, yields | 11168 | 77.0 | 108 | 18.9 | 83.2 |
| Starchy vegetables | Average value, weighted |  |  |  |  | 68.2 |
| Beets, canned | $1 / 2$ cup diced, sliced, or whole | 11084 | 163.3 | 31 | 0.9 | 50.6 |
| Bell peppers, fresh | $1 / 2$ cup, chopped or sliced | 11333 | 60.3 | 20 | 5.1 | 12.1 |
| Cabbage, fresh | $1 / 2$ cup chopped | 11109 | 44.5 | 25 | 6.7 | 11.1 |
| Cauliflower, fresh | $1 / 2$ cup | 11135 | 50.0 | 25 | 2.0 | 12.5 |
| Cucumbers, fresh | $1 / 2$ cup slices | 11205 | 52.0 | 13 | 8.1 | 6.8 |
| Eggplant, fresh | $1 / 2$ cup boiled | 11210 | 49.5 | 35 | 1.2 | 17.3 |
| Onions, fresh | $1 / 2$ cup boiled, drained | 11283 | 105.0 | 44 | 14.4 | 46.2 |
| Snap beans, fresh | $1 / 2$ cup boiled | 11053 | 62.5 | 35 | 8.8 | 21.9 |
| Tomatoes, fresh | $1 / 2$ cup chopped or sliced | 11883 | 90.0 | 21 | 52.9 | 18.9 |
| Other vegetables | Average value, weighted |  |  |  |  | 21.4 |
| All summer vegetables | Average value, weighted ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  | 35.1 |
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Table 1. Continued.

| Commodity | Serving description | NDB number | Serving size | Energy <br> density | Relative <br> preference | Energy per <br> serving |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (percent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1 - Nutritional data on food commodities obtained from the Nutrient Database for Standard Reference (NDB), release 17 (USDA Agricultural Research Service, 2004). Diets contain only foods which are or can be grown in New York State. Relative preferences for individual foods within food groups is based on consumption data from the Food Commodity Intake Database, version 2.1 (USEPA and USDA Agricultural Research Service, 2000).
2 - In accordance with the USDA Food Guide Pyramid, food is divided into seven groups: grains; vegetables; fruits; dairy; meat, eggs, nuts, and pulses; added fats, and added sweeteners. Vegetables are further subdivided into three categories: dark green leafy and deep yellow vegetables, starchy vegetables, and other vegetables. Distinctions are also drawn between whole fruit and juice. For more information see the 2000 Dietary Guidelines for Americans (USDA and US Department of Health and Human Services, 2000). Additional subdivisions shown in this table are to allow comparison with Table 2.
3 -Reference number used by the Nutrient Database for Standard Reference (NDB).
4 - Average of white and whole wheat flour.

5 - Includes regular, quick, and instant oats.
6 - One-third of total vegetable servings are assumed to come from each subgroup.
7 - Two-thirds of total fruit servings are assumed to come from whole fruit, one-third from juice.
8 - Average of sweet and sour cherries.
9 - Assumes that 5 out of 6 servings come from pulses and 1 out of 6 from nuts and seeds.
10 - Average of the composite of all retail cuts (trimmed to $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ fat) and ground beef ( $75 \%$ lean, broiled).
11 - Average of the composite of all retail cuts, sausage, and bacon.
12 - Average of the composite of all retail cuts (trimmed to 0 " fat) and ground beef ( $95 \%$ lean, broiled)
13 - Composite of ham, shoulder, and loin cuts.

Table 2. Servings ${ }^{1}$ of food from major food groups and selected food subgroups, by diet.

| Diet |  | Grains | Vegetables | Fruit | Dairy |  | Pulses, nuts, and seeds | Meat and eggs |  |  | Oils | Added sugars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meat | Fat |  |  |  | Skim | Whole |  | Lean meat | Regular meat | Eggs |  |  |
| ----g/day---- |  |  |  |  | --- | ----- | -servings-- | ------------ |  |  |  | ------ |
| 0 | 52 | 9.3 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 11.8 |
|  | 65 | 9.3 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 4.6 |
|  | 78 | 8.9 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 91 | 7.8 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.1 | 0.0 |
|  | 104 | 6.8 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.1 | 0.0 |
|  | 117 | 5.7 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 73.2 | 0.0 |
| 63 | 52 | 9.8 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 4.1 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 14.0 | 14.9 |
|  | 65 | 9.3 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 16.8 | 11.6 |
|  | 78 | 9.3 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 29.8 | 4.1 |
|  | 91 | 8.8 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 42.9 | 0.0 |
|  | 104 | 7.8 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 55.9 | 0.0 |
|  | 117 | 6.7 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 69.0 | 0.0 |
| 127 | 52 | 10.8 | 4.8 | 3.6 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 14.0 | 14.9 |
|  | 65 | 9.8 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 14.0 | 14.9 |
|  | 78 | 9.3 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 25.7 | 11.1 |
|  | 91 | 9.3 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 38.7 | 3.7 |
|  | 104 | 8.8 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 51.8 | 0.0 |
|  | 117 | 7.7 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 64.8 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 52 | 11.8 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 14.0 | 14.9 |
|  | 65 | 10.8 | 4.8 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 4.2 | 0.9 | 14.0 | 14.9 |
|  | 78 | 9.8 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.9 | 21.5 | 14.9 |
|  | 91 | 9.3 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.9 | 34.5 | 10.6 |
|  | 104 | 9.3 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.9 | 47.6 | 3.2 |
|  | 117 | 8.7 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.9 | 60.6 | 0.0 |
| 254 | 52 | 11.1 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 14.0 | 14.9 |
|  | 65 | 10.1 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 1.2 | 14.0 | 14.9 |
|  | 78 | 9.3 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 6.2 | 1.2 | 14.0 | 13.7 |
|  | 91 | 9.3 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 1.2 | 23.9 | 6.5 |

Table 2. Continued.

| Diet |  | Grains | Vegetables | Fruit | Dairy |  | Pulses, nuts, and seeds | Meat and eggs |  |  | Oils | Added sugars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meat | Fat |  |  |  | Skim | Whole |  | Lean meat | Regular meat | Eggs |  |  |
| ----g/day---- |  |  |  |  |  |  | -servings-- |  |  |  |  | ------ |
| 254 | 104 | 9.1 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 1.2 | 36.9 | 0.2 |
|  | 117 | 8.1 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 1.2 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
| 317 | 52 | 10.5 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 7.6 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 14.0 | 14.9 |
|  | 65 | 9.5 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 5.1 | 3.4 | 1.5 | 14.0 | 14.9 |
|  | 78 | 9.3 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 5.8 | 1.5 | 14.0 | 9.3 |
|  | 91 | 9.3 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 8.3 | 1.5 | 14.0 | 2.3 |
|  | 104 | 8.6 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 1.5 | 26.2 | 0.0 |
|  | 117 | 7.5 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 1.5 | 39.3 | 0.0 |
| 381 | 52 | 9.8 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 14.0 | 14.9 |
|  | 65 | 9.3 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 7.6 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 14.0 | 11.9 |
|  | 78 | 9.3 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 1.9 | 14.0 | 4.8 |
|  | 91 | 9.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 7.8 | 1.9 | 14.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 104 | 8.0 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 1.9 | 15.6 | 0.0 |
|  | 117 | 6.9 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 1.9 | 28.6 | 0.0 |

1 - Serving sizes are outlined in Table 1. Note that serving sizes are not consistent across food groups.

Table 3. Proportion of total dietary energy supplied by each food group. ${ }^{1}$

| Diet |  | Grains, fruits, \& vegetables | Pulses | Dairy | $\begin{gathered} \text { Meat \& } \\ \text { eggs } \end{gathered}$ | Oils | Sugar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meat | Fat |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -------g/day------- |  | --------- | --- | cent of | dietary en | ---- | ---- |
| 0 | 52 | 43.7 | 30.3 | 12.7 | - | 5.4 | 7.9 |
|  | 65 | 43.7 | 30.3 | 14.9 | - | 8.0 | 3.1 |
|  | 78 | 41.8 | 30.3 | 14.9 | - | 13.0 | - |
|  | 91 | 36.8 | 30.3 | 14.9 | - | 18.0 | - |
|  | 104 | 31.8 | 30.3 | 14.9 | - | 23.0 | - |
|  | 117 | 26.8 | 30.3 | 14.9 | - | 28.0 | - |
| 63 | 52 | 46.2 | 20.4 | 12.0 | 6.1 | 5.4 | 10.0 |
|  | 65 | 43.7 | 20.4 | 14.9 | 6.9 | 6.4 | 7.8 |
|  | 78 | 43.7 | 20.4 | 14.9 | 6.9 | 11.4 | 2.8 |
|  | 91 | 41.4 | 20.4 | 14.9 | 6.9 | 16.4 | - |
|  | 104 | 36.4 | 20.4 | 14.9 | 6.9 | 21.4 | - |
|  | 117 | 31.4 | 20.4 | 14.9 | 6.9 | 26.4 | - |
| 127 | 52 | 50.8 | 10.4 | 11.6 | 11.9 | 5.4 | 10.0 |
|  | 65 | 46.1 | 10.4 | 14.6 | 13.5 | 5.4 | 10.0 |
|  | 78 | 43.7 | 10.4 | 14.9 | 13.7 | 9.8 | 7.4 |
|  | 91 | 43.7 | 10.4 | 14.9 | 13.7 | 14.8 | 2.4 |
|  | 104 | 41.1 | 10.4 | 14.9 | 13.7 | 19.8 | - |
|  | 117 | 36.1 | 10.4 | 14.9 | 13.7 | 24.8 | - |
| 190 | 52 | 55.4 |  | 11.2 | 17.5 | 5.4 | 10.0 |
|  | $65$ | 50.7 | 0.5 | 13.8 | 19.7 | 5.4 | 10.0 |
|  | 78 | 45.8 | 0.5 | 14.9 | 20.6 | 8.2 | 10.0 |
|  | 91 | 43.7 | 0.5 | 14.9 | 20.6 | 13.2 | 7.1 |
|  | 104 | 43.7 | 0.5 | 14.9 | 20.6 | 18.2 | 2.1 |
|  | 117 | 40.8 | 0.5 | 14.9 | 20.6 | 23.2 | - |
| 254 | 52 | 52.4 | 0.5 | 9.9 | 21.9 | 5.4 | 10.0 |
|  | 65 | 47.7 | 0.5 | 12.1 | 24.3 | 5.4 | 10.0 |
|  | 78 | 43.8 | 0.5 | 14.3 | 26.8 | 5.4 | 9.2 |
|  | 91 | 43.7 | 0.5 | 14.9 | 27.4 | 9.1 | 4.4 |
|  | 104 | 42.9 | 0.5 | 14.9 | 27.4 | 14.1 | 0.1 |
|  | 117 | 38.0 | 0.5 | 14.9 | 27.4 | 19.1 | - |
| 317 | 52 | 49.3 | 0.5 | 8.9 | 25.9 | 5.4 | 10.0 |
|  | 65 | 44.6 | 0.5 | 10.8 | 28.6 | 5.4 | 10.0 |
|  | 78 | 43.7 | 0.5 | 12.8 | 31.4 | 5.4 | 6.3 |
|  | 91 | 43.7 | 0.5 | 14.8 | 34.1 | 5.4 | 1.6 |
|  | 104 | 40.3 | 0.5 | 14.9 | 34.3 | 10.0 | - |
|  | 117 | 35.3 | 0.5 | 14.9 | 34.3 | 15.0 | - |
| 381 | 52 | 46.1 | 0.5 | 8.2 | 29.9 | 5.4 | 10.0 |
|  | 65 | 43.7 | 0.5 | 9.8 | 32.7 | 5.4 | 8.0 |
|  | 78 | 43.7 | 0.5 | 11.6 | 35.6 | 5.4 | 3.3 |
|  | 91 | 42.2 | 0.5 | 13.3 | 38.6 | 5.4 | - |
|  | 104 | 37.5 | 0.5 | 14.9 | 41.2 | 6.0 | - |
|  | 117 | 32.5 | 0.5 | 14.9 | 41.2 | 11.0 | - |

1 - The grains, vegetables, and fruit food groups were merged into a single category to improve the readability of the table. These groups represent the base of the USDA Food Guide Pyramid and, thus, constitute the foundation of a balanced diet.

Table 4. Estimates of food system losses, inedible portions, and yields of processed product per unit input used to calculate the farm weight of commodities needed to provide the consumption outlined in the diets.

| Food item | Foodservice and consumer loss ${ }^{1}$ | Inedible share ${ }^{2}$ | Cooking loss ${ }^{2}$ | Retail loss ${ }^{3}$ | Loss from primary to retail weight ${ }^{4}$ | Yield product per unit weight of farm commodity ${ }^{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ---------- | -------------------- | ----------------- | ---------------- | ------------------------- | --------------- |
| Apple juice | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 73 |
| Apples, fresh | 30 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 100 |
| Beans, black | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| Beans, kidney | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| Beef, lean ${ }^{6}$ | 15 | 9 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 41 |
| Beef, regular | 15 | 0 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 44 |
| Beets, canned | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 76 |
| Bell peppers, fresh | 30 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 100 |
| Blueberries, fresh | 30 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 100 |
| Blueberries, frozen | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 97 |
| Cabbage, fresh | 30 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 100 |
| Carrots, fresh | 30 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 100 |
| Cauliflower, fresh | 30 | 61 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 100 |
| Cauliflower, frozen | 15 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 70 |
| Cherries, fresh | 30 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 100 |
| Cherries, frozen | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 88 |
| Chicken, lean ${ }^{7}$ | 15 | 19 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 44 |
| Chicken, regular | 15 | 0 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 44 |
| Corn, grain | 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 89 |
| Cucumbers, fresh | 30 | 27 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 100 |
| Eggplant, fresh | 30 | 19 | 7 | 2 | 10 | 100 |
| Eggs | 30 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 100 |
| Endive/escarole, fresh | 30 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 100 |
| Grape juice | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 81 |
| Grapes, fresh | 30 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 100 |
| Green peas, canned | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 135 |
| Green peas, frozen | 15 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 92 |
| Lettuce, fresh | 30 | 16 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 100 |
| Milk, skim | 30 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 97 |
| Milk, whole | 30 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 100 |

Table 4. Continued.

| Food item | Foodservice and consumer loss ${ }^{1}$ | Inedible share ${ }^{2}$ | Cooking loss ${ }^{2}$ | Retail loss ${ }^{3}$ | Loss from primary to retail weight ${ }^{4}$ | Yield product per unit weight of farm commodity ${ }^{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ------------------- | ------- |  |  |
| Oats | 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 58 |
| Oil, canola | 20 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 41 |
| Oil, soybean | 20 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 |
| Oil, sunflower | 20 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 41 |
| Onions, fresh | 30 | 10 | 15 | 2 | 6 | 100 |
| Peaches, canned | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 109 |
| Peaches, fresh | 30 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 100 |
| Pears, fresh | 30 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 100 |
| Pork, lean ${ }^{8}$ | 15 | 0 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 35 |
| Pork, regular | 15 | 0 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 54 |
| Potatoes, fresh | 30 | 23 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 100 |
| Rye | 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 86 |
| Snap beans, canned | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 140 |
| Snap beans, fresh | 30 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 100 |
| Snap beans, frozen | 15 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 85 |
| Soybeans, for tofu ${ }^{9}$ | 30 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 592 |
| Spinach, fresh | 30 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 100 |
| Spinach, frozen | 15 | 0 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 70 |
| Squash, winter, fresh | 30 | 26 | 15 | 2 | 8 | 100 |
| Strawberries, fresh | 30 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 100 |
| Strawberries, frozen | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 112 |
| Sugar, from sugar beet | 30 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 |
| Sunflower seeds | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| Sweet corn, canned | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 39 |
| Sweet corn, fresh | 30 | 64 | 12 | 2 | 8 | 100 |
| Sweet corn, frozen | 15 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 27 |
| Tomatoes, canned | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 64 |
| Tomatoes, fresh | 30 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 100 |
| Wheat | 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 86 |

[^0]2 - Estimates of inedible portions and weight losses resulting from cooking are from Matthews and Garrison (1975) and Kantor (1998).
3 - Includes all losses of food that occur at the retail level. Estimates from Kantor (1998).
4 - Reduction in weight that occurs from the farm gate to the retail outlet. Estimates from Kantor (1998).
5 - Yield of processed food product per unit weight of farm commodity. Estimates from USDA Economic Research Service (1992).
6 - Lean beef assumes that fat is trimmed from cuts of meat at processing (yield of product) and that any remaining separable fat is not eaten (inedible share).
The quantity of separable fat remaining in trimmed cuts of meat was derived from the Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, Release 17 (USDA Agricultural Research Service, 2004).
7 - Lean chicken assumes that the skin is not eaten (inedible share). The relative quantity of skin to meat was derived from the Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, Release 17 (USDA Agricultural Research Service, 2004).
8 - Lean pork excludes the weight of pork bellies from the yield of product. Pork bellies are often cured and used for bacon.
9 - Yield of tofu per unit weight of soybeans was derived by dividing the dry weight of tofu by the dry weight of soybeans. Dry weights derived from the Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, Release 17 (USDA Agricultural Research Service, 2004).

Table 5. Crop yields used to estimate per capita land requirements of diet, 1999 to 2003. Yields shown are for New York State unless otherwise noted. ${ }^{1}$

| Crop | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | acre)-- |  |  |
| Alfalfa hay | 4,900 | 4,600 | 4,800 | 5,600 | 4,600 |
| Apples | 17,455 | 22,364 | 23,375 | 22,927 | 15,366 |
| Barley | 2,400 | 2,736 | 2,784 | 2,448 | 2,256 |
| Beets, processed | 24,000 | 30,740 | 26,760 | 30,800 | - |
| Bell peppers | - | - | 21,000 | 23,000 | - |
| Blueberries | 2,143 | 2,286 | 2,714 | 2,143 | 2,714 |
| Cabbage | 38,000 | 41,000 | 44,000 | 40,000 | 35,000 |
| Canola ${ }^{2}$ | 1,196 | 1,359 | 1,358 | 1,169 | 1,250 |
| Carrots | 30,000 | 30,000 | - | - | - |
| Cauliflower | 19,500 | 15,000 | 12,000 | 14,000 | 10,000 |
| Cherries, sweet and tart | 4,030 | 5,672 | 6,345 | 6,000 | 4,963 |
| Corn | 6,384 | 5,656 | 5,488 | 5,880 | 5,432 |
| Cucumbers | 20,000 | 18,000 | 21,000 | 17,000 | 18,000 |
| Drybeans | 1,420 | 1,370 | 1,460 | 870 | 1,360 |
| Eggplant | - | - | 18,000 | 23,000 | - |
| Endive/escarole | - | - | 28,000 | 28,000 | - |
| Grapes | 7,937 | 12,952 | 9,778 | 9,460 | 10,000 |
| Green peas, processed | 4,400 | 4,260 | 4,020 | 4,540 | 2,880 |
| Lettuce | 27,500 | 21,000 | - | - | - |
| Oats | 1,984 | 2,176 | 2,080 | 2,208 | 2,112 |
| Onions | 30,000 | 28,000 | 38,000 | 33,000 | 21,000 |
| Other hay | 4,100 | 3,600 | 3,800 | 3,600 | 4,200 |
| Peaches | 5,313 | 7,500 | 7,063 | 7,500 | 5,882 |
| Pears | 10,000 | 11,300 | 12,900 | 14,286 | 14,071 |
| Potatoes | 27,000 | 26,500 | 28,000 | 25,500 | 25,000 |
| Rye | 1,960 | 2,128 | 2,240 | 1,512 | 1,960 |
| Snap beans | 6,200 | 6,100 | 6,800 | 5,600 | 5,500 |
| Snap beans, processed | 7,400 | 6,840 | 6,740 | 5,920 | 5,980 |
| Soybeans | 2,460 | 2,220 | 1,980 | 1,980 | 1,920 |
| Spinach | - | - | 8,000 | 8,000 | - |
| Squash | - | - | 22,000 | 16,000 | 17,000 |
| Strawberries | 3,813 | 4,875 | 4,063 | 3,750 | 4,500 |
| Sugarbeets ${ }^{3}$ | 33,300 | 38,100 | 41,500 | 36,400 | 38,700 |
| Sunflower, non-oil ${ }^{2}$ | 1,124 | 1,082 | 988 | 1,243 | 1,150 |
| Sunflower, oil ${ }^{2}$ | 1,101 | 1,156 | 977 | 1,372 | 1,178 |
| Sweet corn | 9,000 | 9,500 | 9,500 | 11,500 | 11,000 |
| Sweet corn, processed | 11,200 | 11,040 | 10,660 | 11,000 | - |
| Tomatoes | 14,000 | 11,500 | 18,000 | 16,000 | 14,000 |
| Wheat | 3,240 | 3,900 | 3,180 | 3,180 | 3,480 |

1 - Crop yields are from New York Agricultural Statistics Service (2004) unless otherwise noted. Dash (-) indicates that no data was available for that year. Average yields over the time frame were used to substitute for missing values. Yields have been converted to lbs per acre from their original units.
2 - Yields for "Other states" (which includes New York) from national summaries of crop production (USDA National Agricultural Statistics Service, 2001 and 2004).
3 - Average yields for Michigan and Ohio, the two closest states for which data was available, from national summaries of crop production (USDA National Agricultural Statistics Service, 2001 and 2004).

Table 6. Feed requirements for hogs, broilers, and laying hens.

| Livestock class | Corn grain required | Soybean meal required | Mineral supplements | Total feed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ----lbs per ma | le animal--- | ---------- |
| Hogs ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Feeder pigs | 598.5 | 196.7 | 59.9 | 855.0 |
| Sows | 81.4 | 26.7 | 8.1 | 116.3 |
| Boars | 2.4 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 3.5 |
| Total, hogs | 682.3 | 224.2 | 68.2 | 974.8 |
| Broilers ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Pullets | 6.62 | 3.86 | 0.55 | 11.03 |
| Hens | 0.50 | 0.29 | 0.04 | 0.83 |
| Roosters | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.08 |
| Total, broilers | 7.16 | 4.18 | 0.60 | 11.93 |
| Layers -------------------------------------1bs per 300 |  |  |  |  |
| Layers, mature ${ }^{5}$ | 51.07 | 25.54 | 8.51 | 85.12 |
| Layers, immature ${ }^{5}$ | 8.96 | 4.48 | 1.49 | 14.93 |
| Hens ${ }^{6}$ | 0.47 | 0.24 | 0.08 | 0.79 |
| Roosters ${ }^{7}$ | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.08 |
| Total, layers | 60.55 | 30.27 | 10.08 | 100.91 |

1 - Assumes that pigs are fed to a market weight of 250 lbs at an average feed conversion of 3 lbs feed per 1 lb of live weight. The ration is $70 \%$ corn, $23 \%$ soybean meal, and $7 \%$ mineral supplements. The herd mortality rate is $14 \%$. Breeding stock (sows and boars) are fed the same ration as feeder pigs at a rate of 1 ton feed per year. Sows give birth to an average of 20 piglets per year, and 3 boars are required to service every 100 sows. Personal communication with Tro Bui, Dept of Animal Science, Cornell University (10 August 2001).
2 - Assumes that pullets are fed to a market weight of 5 lbs at an average feed conversion of 2.1 lbs feed per 1 lb of live weight. The ration is $60 \%$ corn, $35 \%$ soybean meal, and $5 \%$ mineral supplements. The mortality rate of the flock is $5 \%$. Breeding stock (hens and roosters) are fed the same ration at a rate of 0.30 lbs per day. Hens lay 155 eggs per year, and $90 \%$ of eggs hatch to produce chicks. One rooster is required per 10 hens. Personal communication with Kavous Keshavarz, Dept of Animal Science, Cornell University (4 June 2001).
3 - Assumes that mature layers produce 300 eggs over a 55 -week laying period. Mature layers consume 0.22 lbs of feed per day. Laying hens begin producing eggs at 17 weeks of age. During the immature period, layers consume 0.13 lbs of feed per day. The ration is $60 \%$ corn, $30 \%$ soybean meal, and $10 \%$ minerals. The mortality rate of the laying flock is $5 \%$ for immature birds and $6 \%$ for mature birds. Breeding stock (hens and roosters) are fed the same ration at a rate of 0.22 lbs per day. Hens lay 240 eggs per year, and $90 \%$ of eggs hatch to produce chicks, $50 \%$ of which are female. One rooster is required per 10 hens. Personal communication with Kavous Keshavarz, Dept of Animal Science, Cornell University (4 June 2001).

Table 7. Feed requirements for beef cattle.

| Type of cattle $^{1}$ | Feed requirements per marketable animal |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Corn | Soy | Minerals | Hay | Pasture |
|  | $-----------------------------------------------------------1 ~$ | ac |  |  |  |
| Cow and calf to 550 lbs | 341 | - | 155 | 2,821 | 2.1 |
| Backgrounded calf to 850 lbs | 750 | - | - | 2100 | - |
| Feedlot cattle to 1300 lbs | 2550 | 150 | 150 | 450 | - |
| Total, beef cattle | 3,641 | 150 | 305 | 5,371 | 2.1 |

1 - Feed requirements compiled by M.W. Hamm, Dept of Community, Agriculture, Recreation and Resource Studies, Michigan State University. Obtained via personal communication with M.W. Hamm (7 June 2004). Confirmed applicability to New York State via personal communication with Mike Baker, Dept of Animal Science, Cornell University (1 September 2004).

Table 8. Feed and forage requirements for dairy cattle fed a forage-rich ration. ${ }^{1}$

| Type of cattle | Herd size | Alfalfa silage | Grass silage | Corn | Soybean meal $^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. animals | -----------------------Tons as fed per year--------------------- |  |  |  |
| Milking animals | 575 | 1,765.0 | 1,471.2 | 715.5 | 385.2 |
| Dry Cows | 69 | , | 289.6 | - | 14.0 |
| Heifers, >500 lbs | 310 | - | 1,058.5 | - | 101.6 |
| Heifers, <500 lbs | 260 | - | 401.4 | - | 21.0 |
|  |  | -------------Lbs feed per hundredweight milk------------ |  |  |  |
| Feed per unit fluid milk ${ }^{3}$ | NA | 29.8 | 54.3 | 12.1 | 8.8 |

NA - not applicable
1 - The Cornell Net Carbohydrate and Protein System (CNCPS), Version 4.0, was used to estimate the feeding requirements of the cattle. The principles and operation of the CNCPS model are described by Fox et al. (2000). Tom Tylutki of the Dept of Animal Science, Cornell University performed the analysis and reported results on 2 October 2001.
2 - In addition to soybean meal, the ration included small amounts of the following food processing byproducts: beet pulp, canola seed meal, corn gluten, sunflower seed meal, and millings of barley, oats, and rye. In total, these miscellaneous byproducts account for an additional 273 tons of feed or 4.6 lbs feed per hundredweight of fluid milk.
3 - Estimated total milk production for the herd was 11.9 million lbs per year ( 56.5 lbs per cow per day).

Table 9. Comparison of actual land use in New York State with area assumed to be available for agricultural production in the estimates of carrying capacity.

| Land use |  | Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-SI units | SI units |
| Actual land use (average 1999 to 2003) ${ }^{1}$ | $10^{3}$ acres | $10^{3}$ ha |
| Land in farms |  |  |
| Cropland | 7,694 | 3,116 |
| Harvested cropland | 4,948 | 2,004 |
| Field crops | 3,752 | 1,520 |
| Hay crops | 3,500 | 1,417 |
| Cereals, beans, \& potatoes ${ }^{2}$ | 1,646 | 667 |
| Other field crops ${ }^{3}$ | 1,434 | 581 |
| Vegetables | 420 | 170 |
| Fruits | 157 | 63 |
| Pastured cropland | 96 | 39 |
| Other cropland | 632 | 256 |
| Permanent pasture | 564 | 228 |
| Woodland | 630 | 255 |
| Other land ${ }^{4}$ | 1,520 | 616 |
|  | 596 | 241 |
| Land use limits in model ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |
| Productive land available |  | 2,031 |
| Usable for any crop | 5,014 | 712 |
| Limited to perennial crops or pasture | 1,759 | 1,063 |
| Limited to pasture $^{2,625}$ | 255 |  |

1 - Values for actual land use from New York Agricultural Statistics Service (2004).
2 - Includes corn silage.
3 - Residual value after accounting for hay, cereals, beans, and potatoes. Includes haylage and greenchop. 4 - Includes house lots, ponds, roads, waste, etc.
5 - Assumes that all harvested or pastured cropland and all permanent pasture are available for productive use. For soil management reasons, it is assumed that some cropland must be in perennial crops or pasture at any given time. Proportion of cropland limited to perennial crops is based on the current ratio of perennial crops and cropland pasture to the total of harvested cropland and cropland pasture. Permanent pasture is considered limited to pasture.

Table 10. Estimated ${ }^{1}$ annual per capita land requirements for food production in New York State by diet and model year.

| Diet ${ }^{2}$ |  | Land required per capita to meet annual food needs ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meat | Fat | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 5-year Average |
| ------g/day------ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 52 | 0.1727 (0.4265) | 0.1739 (0.4293) | 0.1753 (0.4327) | 0.1983 (0.4896) | 0.1766 (0.4361) | 0.1794 (0.4429) |
|  | 65 | 0.1756 (0.4336) | 0.1776 (0.4385) | 0.1800 (0.4445) | 0.2028 (0.5007) | 0.1815 (0.4481) | 0.1835 (0.4531) |
|  | 78 | 0.1830 (0.4519) | 0.1867 (0.4609) | 0.1905 (0.4705) | 0.2132 (0.5263) | 0.1923 (0.4748) | 0.1931 (0.4769) |
|  | 91 | 0.1882 (0.4648) | 0.1938 (0.4786) | 0.1988 (0.4908) | 0.2214 (0.5467) | 0.2008 (0.4958) | 0.2006 (0.4953) |
|  | 104 | 0.1934 (0.4776) | 0.2010 (0.4963) | 0.2070 (0.5112) | 0.2296 (0.5670) | 0.2093 (0.5167) | 0.2081 (0.5138) |
|  | 117 | 0.1987 (0.4905) | 0.2082 (0.5140) | 0.2153 (0.5316) | 0.2379 (0.5873) | 0.2178 (0.5377) | 0.2155 (0.5322) |
| 63 | 52 | 0.2608 (0.6439) | 0.2684 (0.6627) | 0.2710 (0.6692) | 0.2867 (0.7079) | 0.2702 (0.6672) | 0.2714 (0.6702) |
|  | 65 | 0.2473 (0.6105) | 0.2546 (0.6287) | 0.2570 (0.6346) | 0.2726 (0.6731) | 0.2562 (0.6326) | 0.2575 (0.6359) |
|  | 78 | 0.2489 (0.6145) | 0.2569 (0.6344) | 0.2600 (0.6420) | 0.2753 (0.6798) | 0.2592 (0.6400) | 0.2601 (0.6421) |
|  | 91 | 0.2561 (0.6322) | 0.2658 (0.6563) | 0.2703 (0.6674) | 0.2855 (0.7049) | 0.2698 (0.6661) | 0.2695 (0.6654) |
|  | 104 | 0.2613 (0.6451) | 0.2730 (0.6740) | 0.2785 (0.6878) | 0.2937 (0.7252) | 0.2783 (0.6871) | 0.2770 (0.6838) |
|  | 117 | 0.2665 (0.6580) | 0.2801 (0.6916) | 0.2868 (0.7081) | 0.3020 (0.7456) | 0.2868 (0.7081) | 0.2844 (0.7023) |
| 127 | 52 | 0.3563 (0.8797) | 0.3707 (0.9153) | 0.3753 (0.9267) | 0.3835 (0.9470) | 0.3725 (0.9199) | 0.3717 (0.9177) |
|  | 65 | 0.3321 (0.8199) | 0.3458 (0.8537) | 0.3497 (0.8634) | 0.3579 (0.8838) | 0.3469 (0.8567) | 0.3465 (0.8555) |
|  | 78 | 0.3262 (0.8055) | 0.3402 (0.8401) | 0.3441 (0.8495) | 0.3522 (0.8696) | 0.3412 (0.8425) | 0.3408 (0.8414) |
|  | 91 | 0.3241 (0.8002) | 0.3384 (0.8355) | 0.3424 (0.8454) | 0.3503 (0.8649) | 0.3394 (0.8381) | 0.3389 (0.8368) |
|  | 104 | 0.3291 (0.8126) | 0.3449 (0.8516) | 0.3501 (0.8643) | 0.3578 (0.8835) | 0.3473 (0.8574) | 0.3458 (0.8539) |
|  | 117 | 0.3343 (0.8254) | 0.3521 (0.8693) | 0.3583 (0.8847) | 0.3660 (0.9038) | 0.3558 (0.8784) | 0.3533 (0.8723) |
| 190 | 52 | $0.4531 \text { (1.1187) }$ | 0.4744 (1.1715) | 0.4811 (1.1879) | 0.4818 (1.1897) | 0.4763 (1.1761) | 0.4734 (1.1688) |
|  | 65 | 0.4244 (1.0479) | 0.4447 (1.0979) | 0.4505 (1.1124) | 0.4513 (1.1144) | 0.4458 (1.1008) | 0.4433 (1.0947) |
|  | 78 | 0.4088 (1.0094) | 0.4290 (1.0593) | 0.4343 (1.0724) | 0.4352 (1.0745) | 0.4296 (1.0606) | 0.4274 (1.0553) |
|  | 91 | 0.4052 (1.0004) | 0.4259 (1.0515) | 0.4311 (1.0645) | 0.4318 (1.0661) | 0.4262 (1.0523) | 0.4240 (1.0470) |
|  | 104 | 0.4030 (0.9951) | 0.4240 (1.0469) | 0.4294 (1.0604) | 0.4299 (1.0615) | 0.4244 (1.0479) | 0.4221 (1.0423) |
|  | 117 | 0.4021 (0.9929) | 0.4240 (1.0470) | 0.4298 (1.0613) | 0.4301 (1.0621) | 0.4247 (1.0488) | 0.4222 (1.0424) |
| 254 | 52 | 0.5699 (1.4071) | 0.6013 (1.4846) | 0.6092 (1.5043) | 0.6095 (1.5050) | 0.6023 (1.4872) | 0.5984 (1.4776) |
|  | 65 | 0.5379 (1.3281) | 0.5679 (1.4022) | 0.5750 (1.4198) | 0.5754 (1.4208) | 0.5682 (1.4030) | 0.5649 (1.3948) |
|  | 78 | 0.5066 (1.2508) | 0.5351 (1.3212) | 0.5414 (1.3369) | 0.5419 (1.3381) | 0.5348 (1.3204) | 0.5320 (1.3135) |
|  | 91 | 0.4979 (1.2293) | 0.5262 (1.2992) | 0.5326 (1.3150) | 0.5329 (1.3157) | 0.5259 (1.2985) | 0.5231 (1.2916) |

Table 10. Continued.

| Diet ${ }^{2}$ |  | Land required per capita to meet annual food needs ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meat | Fat | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 5-year Average |
| -------g/day------ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 254 | 104 | 0.4951 (1.2225) | 0.5238 (1.2933) | 0.5303 (1.3094) | 0.5304 (1.3096) | 0.5235 (1.2925) | 0.5206 (1.2855) |
|  | 117 | 0.4894 (1.2084) | 0.5188 (1.2810) | 0.5250 (1.2962) | 0.5250 (1.2963) | 0.5179 (1.2789) | 0.5152 (1.2722) |
| 317 | 52 | 0.6897 (1.7030) | 0.7314 (1.8058) | 0.7407 (1.8289) | 0.7406 (1.8286) | 0.7316 (1.8064) | 0.7268 (1.7945) |
|  | 65 | 0.6552 (1.6178) | 0.6952 (1.7167) | 0.7037 (1.7375) | 0.7037 (1.7375) | 0.6947 (1.7154) | 0.6905 (1.7050) |
|  | 78 | 0.6235 (1.5394) | 0.6616 (1.6335) | 0.6695 (1.6532) | 0.6695 (1.6530) | 0.6608 (1.6316) | 0.6570 (1.6222) |
|  | 91 | 0.5924 (1.4627) | 0.6285 (1.5518) | 0.6361 (1.5706) | 0.6359 (1.5702) | 0.6276 (1.5495) | 0.6241 (1.5410) |
|  | 104 | 0.5859 (1.4467) | 0.6224 (1.5368) | 0.6298 (1.5551) | 0.6296 (1.5545) | 0.6211 (1.5337) | 0.6178 (1.5253) |
|  | 117 | 0.5801 (1.4323) | 0.6174 (1.5244) | 0.6243 (1.5416) | 0.6241 (1.5410) | 0.6155 (1.5198) | 0.6123 (1.5118) |
| 381 | 52 | 0.8097 (1.9991) | 0.8616 (2.1275) | 0.8723 (2.1539) | 0.8718 (2.1525) | 0.8611 (2.1261) | 0.8553 (2.1118) |
|  | 65 | 0.7767 (1.9177) | 0.8268 (2.0415) | 0.8369 (2.0663) | 0.8363 (2.0650) | 0.8258 (2.0389) | 0.8205 (2.0259) |
|  | 78 | 0.7436 (1.8361) | 0.7915 (1.9544) | 0.8012 (1.9782) | 0.8006 (1.9767) | 0.7903 (1.9515) | 0.7854 (1.9394) |
|  | 91 | 0.7094 (1.7517) | 0.7553 (1.8648) | 0.7644 (1.8873) | 0.7637 (1.8858) | 0.7537 (1.8611) | 0.7493 (1.8501) |
|  | 104 | 0.6766 (1.6706) | 0.7210 (1.7802) | 0.7292 (1.8005) | 0.7287 (1.7992) | 0.7187 (1.7746) | 0.7148 (1.7650) |
|  | 117 | 0.6708 (1.6563) | 0.7159 (1.7677) | 0.7237 (1.7870) | 0.7232 (1.7857) | 0.7131 (1.7607) | 0.7093 (1.7515) |

1 - Per capita land requirements for annual food production were derived based on the input data shown in Tables 1 through 9.
2 - Diet parameters shown in SI units (grams of meat and fat consumed per day). In non-SI units, the meat treatments are $0,2,4,6,8,10$, and 12 ounces of cooked meat per day. The fat treatments are $20 \%, 25 \%, 30 \%, 35 \%, 40 \%$ and $45 \%$ total dietary calories from fat.
3 - Both SI and non-SI units are shown to for allow easy comparisons with published data.

Table 11. Potential ${ }^{1}$ carrying capacity of New York State by diet and model year.

| Diet ${ }^{2}$ |  | Estimated carrying capacity ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meat | Fat | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | Average |
| --------g/day-------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 52 | 6.4097 | 6.4108 | 6.2328 | 5.1938 | 6.1434 | 6.0781 |
|  | 65 | 6.2168 | 6.1677 | 5.9532 | 5.0075 | 5.8673 | 5.8425 |
|  | 78 | 5.8045 | 5.6938 | 5.4476 | 4.6493 | 5.3605 | 5.3912 |
|  | 91 | 5.4885 | 5.3296 | 5.0709 | 4.3713 | 4.9777 | 5.0476 |
|  | 104 | 5.2051 | 5.0091 | 4.7429 | 4.1246 | 4.6459 | 4.7455 |
|  | 117 | 4.9495 | 4.7250 | 4.4547 | 3.9044 | 4.3556 | 4.4778 |
| 63 | 52 | 5.3835 | 5.2593 | 5.0394 | 4.5867 | 4.9684 | 5.0475 |
|  | 65 | 5.6938 | 5.5606 | 5.3300 | 4.8253 | 5.2556 | 5.3331 |
|  | 78 | 5.6222 | 5.4635 | 5.2134 | 4.7372 | 5.1415 | 5.2356 |
|  | 91 | 5.2873 | 5.0925 | 4.8263 | 4.4189 | 4.7524 | 4.8755 |
|  | 104 | 5.0238 | 4.7991 | 4.5283 | 4.1670 | 4.4490 | 4.5935 |
|  | 117 | 4.7853 | 4.5377 | 4.2649 | 3.9423 | 4.1821 | 4.3425 |
| 127 | 52 | 4.4854 | 4.3021 | 4.0710 | 3.9606 | 4.0147 | 4.1667 |
|  | 65 | 4.8539 | 4.6576 | 4.4121 | 4.2747 | 4.3498 | 4.5096 |
|  | 78 | 4.9849 | 4.7719 | 4.5178 | 4.3758 | 4.4537 | 4.6208 |
|  | 91 | 5.0608 | 4.8323 | 4.5657 | 4.4275 | 4.5038 | 4.6780 |
|  | 104 | 4.8547 | 4.6061 | 4.3322 | 4.2103 | 4.2682 | 4.4543 |
|  | 117 | 4.6317 | 4.3647 | 4.0905 | 3.9810 | 4.0219 | 4.2180 |
| 190 | 52 | 3.8318 |  | 3.4032 | 3.4732 | 3.3566 | 3.5384 |
|  | 65 | 4.1453 | 3.9248 | 3.6834 | 3.7575 | 3.6323 | 3.8287 |
|  | 78 | 4.3552 | 4.1167 | 3.8650 | 3.9425 | 3.8095 | 4.0178 |
|  | 91 | 4.4330 | 4.1792 | 3.9204 | 4.0029 | 3.8641 | 4.0799 |
|  | 104 | 4.4929 | 4.2254 | 3.9564 | 4.0461 | 3.9017 | 4.1245 |
|  | 117 | 4.4876 | 4.2044 | 3.9299 | 4.0205 | 3.8735 | 4.1032 |
| 254 | 52 | 3.1237 | 2.9175 | 2.7374 | 2.8059 | 2.6966 | 2.8562 |
|  | 65 | 3.3521 | 3.1294 | 2.9371 | 3.0100 | 2.8926 | 3.0643 |
|  | 78 | 3.6104 | 3.3693 | 3.1623 | 3.2406 | 3.1142 | 3.2994 |
|  | 91 | 3.7051 | 3.4523 | 3.2354 | 3.3194 | 3.1878 | 3.3800 |
|  | 104 | 3.7531 | 3.4892 | 3.2658 | 3.3546 | 3.2188 | 3.4163 |
|  | 117 | 3.8321 | 3.5522 | 3.3256 | 3.4174 | 3.2758 | 3.4806 |
| 317 | 52 | 2.6233 | 2.4273 | 2.2776 | 2.3417 | 2.2417 | 2.3823 |
|  | 65 | 2.7949 | 2.5841 | 2.4253 | 2.4934 | 2.3865 | 2.5368 |
|  | 78 | 2.9730 | 2.7478 | 2.5767 | 2.6505 | 2.5366 | 2.6969 |
|  | 91 | 3.1711 | 2.9300 | 2.7440 | 2.8249 | 2.7030 | 2.8746 |
|  | 104 | 3.2320 | 2.9794 | 2.7895 | 2.8734 | 2.7473 | 2.9243 |
|  | 117 | 3.2910 | 3.0257 | 2.8337 | 2.9200 | 2.7892 | 2.9719 |
| 381 | 52 | 2.2612 | 2.0780 | 1.9500 | 2.0092 | 1.9180 | 2.0433 |
|  | 65 | 2.3808 | 2.1865 | 2.0512 | 2.1141 | 2.0177 | 2.1501 |
|  | 78 | 2.5141 | 2.3078 | 2.1628 | 2.2307 | 2.1285 | 2.2688 |
|  | 91 | 2.6689 | 2.4481 | 2.2926 | 2.3659 | 2.2570 | 2.4065 |
|  | 104 | 2.8384 | 2.5999 | 2.4350 | 2.5134 | 2.3967 | 2.5567 |
|  | 117 | 2.8838 | 2.6351 | 2.4686 | 2.5489 | 2.4285 | 2.5930 |

1 - Potential carrying capacity derived based on the input data show in Tables 1 through 9 .
2 - Diet parameters shown in SI units (grams of meat and fat consumed per day). In non-SI units, the meat treatments are $0,2,4,6,8,10$, and 12 ounces of cooked meat per day. The fat treatments are $20 \%, 25 \%$, $30 \%, 35 \%, 40 \%$ and $45 \%$ total dietary calories from fat.

Table 12. Area of surplus ${ }^{1}$ perennial forage land and permanent pasture by diet and model year.

| Diet ${ }^{2}$ |  | Surplus perennial forage land ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meat | Fat | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | Average |
| ------g/day------ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 52 | 0.9235 (2.2802) | 0.9160 (2.2617) | 0.9383 (2.3168) | 1.0007 (2.4709) | 0.9457 (2.3350) | 0.9448 (2.3329) |
|  | 65 | 0.9390 (2.3186) | 0.9353 (2.3095) | 0.9591 (2.3681) | 1.0152 (2.5067) | 0.9659 (2.3849) | 0.9629 (2.3776) |
|  | 78 | 0.9684 (2.3910) | 0.9678 (2.3897) | 0.9927 (2.4510) | 1.0396 (2.5669) | 0.9999 (2.4689) | 0.9937 (2.4535) |
|  | 91 | 0.9976 (2.4632) | 0.9976 (2.4633) | 1.0226 (2.5250) | 1.0629 (2.6244) | 1.0312 (2.5463) | 1.0224 (2.5244) |
|  | 104 | 1.0238 (2.5279) | 1.0238 (2.5280) | 1.0487 (2.5894) | 1.0835 (2.6754) | 1.0584 (2.6133) | 1.0477 (2.5868) |
|  | 117 | 1.0474 (2.5863) | 1.0471 (2.5854) | 1.0716 (2.6460) | 1.1020 (2.7210) | 1.0821 (2.6720) | 1.0701 (2.6421) |
| 63 | 52 | 0.6268 (1.5476) | 0.6192 (1.5289) | 0.6649 (1.6418) | 0.7157 (1.7672) | 0.6881 (1.6989) | 0.6629 (1.6369) |
|  | 65 | 0.6228 (1.5377) | 0.6147 (1.5179) | 0.6608 (1.6316) | 0.7153 (1.7663) | 0.6842 (1.6894) | 0.6596 (1.6286) |
|  | 78 | 0.6315 (1.5593) | 0.6270 (1.5482) | 0.6752 (1.6671) | 0.7263 (1.7934) | 0.6980 (1.7234) | 0.6716 (1.6583) |
|  | 91 | 0.6768 (1.6712) | 0.6771 (1.6720) | 0.7261 (1.7929) | 0.7691 (1.8991) | 0.7486 (1.8484) | 0.7196 (1.7767) |
|  | 104 | 0.7181 (1.7731) | 0.7207 (1.7796) | 0.7693 (1.8996) | 0.8067 (1.9919) | 0.7926 (1.9571) | 0.7615 (1.8803) |
|  | 117 | 0.7555 (1.8654) | 0.7596 (1.8755) | 0.8075 (1.9939) | 0.8403 (2.0748) | 0.8314 (2.0528) | 0.7989 (1.9725) |
| 127 | 52 | 0.4327 (1.0684) | 0.4359 (1.0764) | 0.5027 (1.2413) | 0.5117 (1.2634) | 0.5350 (1.3211) | 0.4836 (1.1941) |
|  | 65 | 0.4188 (1.0341) | 0.4203 (1.0377) | 0.4878 (1.2044) | 0.5006 (1.2360) | 0.5215 (1.2877) | 0.4698 (1.1600) |
|  | 78 | 0.4045 (0.9988) | 0.4071 (1.0051) | 0.4763 (1.1760) | 0.4896 (1.2088) | 0.5111 (1.2620) | 0.4577 (1.1301) |
|  | 91 | 0.3906 (0.9645) | 0.3955 (0.9766) | 0.4674 (1.1540) | 0.4798 (1.1846) | 0.5020 (1.2396) | 0.4471 (1.1038) |
|  | 104 | 0.4330 (1.0692) | 0.4420 (1.0914) | 0.5141 (1.2695) | 0.5242 (1.2942) | 0.5485 (1.3543) | 0.4924 (1.2157) |
|  | 117 | 0.4823 (1.1908) | 0.4940 (1.2197) | 0.5650 (1.3951) | 0.5734 (1.4159) | 0.5999 (1.4812) | 0.5429 (1.3405) |
| 190 | 52 | 0.2946 (0.7273) | 0.3097 (0.7648) | 0.3934 (0.9713) | 0.3572 (0.8819) | 0.4319 (1.0664) | 0.3573 (0.8823) |
|  | 65 | 0.2714 (0.6700) | 0.2855 (0.7049) | 0.3712 (0.9165) | 0.3348 (0.8267) | 0.4113 (1.0156) | 0.3348 (0.8267) |
|  | 78 | 0.2502 (0.6178) | 0.2645 (0.6531) | 0.3520 (0.8691) | 0.3151 (0.7779) | 0.3942 (0.9735) | 0.3152 (0.7783) |
|  | 91 | 0.2346 (0.5793) | 0.2510 (0.6196) | 0.3406 (0.8409) | 0.3022 (0.7463) | 0.3839 (0.9478) | 0.3025 (0.7468) |
|  | 104 | 0.2200 (0.5431) | 0.2391 (0.5905) | 0.3316 (0.8188) | 0.2913 (0.7192) | 0.3747 (0.9253) | 0.2913 (0.7194) |
|  | 117 | 0.2261 (0.5582) | 0.2479 (0.6120) | 0.3415 (0.8433) | 0.3013 (0.7439) | 0.3854 (0.9516) | 0.3004 (0.7418) |
| 254 | 52 | 0.2506 (0.6187) | 0.2765 (0.6828) | 0.3629 (0.8962) | 0.3204 (0.7910) | 0.4065 (1.0038) | 0.3234 (0.7985) |
|  | 65 | 0.2276 (0.5619) | 0.2535 (0.6259) | 0.3419 (0.8441) | 0.2987 (0.7375) | 0.3871 (0.9557) | 0.3017 (0.7450) |
|  | 78 | 0.2018 (0.4982) | 0.2278 (0.5624) | 0.3185 (0.7865) | 0.2746 (0.6779) | 0.3653 (0.9019) | 0.2776 (0.6854) |
|  | 91 | 0.1860 (0.4592) | 0.2142 (0.5288) | 0.3075 (0.7593) | 0.2619 (0.6466) | 0.3542 (0.8747) | 0.2648 (0.6537) |

Table 12. Continued.

| Diet ${ }^{2}$ |  | Surplus perennial forage land ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meat | Fat | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | Average |
| ------g/day------ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 254 | 104 | 0.1724 (0.4256) | 0.2031 (0.5015) | 0.2988 (0.7377) | 0.2514 (0.6209) | 0.3457 (0.8537) | 0.2543 (0.6279) |
|  | 117 | 0.1552 (0.3832) | 0.1878 (0.4636) | 0.2849 (0.7034) | 0.2365 (0.5840) | 0.3340 (0.8248) | 0.2397 (0.5918) |
| 317 | 52 | 0.2213 (0.5465) | 0.2554 (0.6307) | 0.3436 (0.8484) | 0.2965 (0.7321) | 0.3906 (0.9645) | 0.3015 (0.7445) |
|  | 65 | 0.1995 (0.4925) | 0.2341 (0.5779) | 0.3240 (0.8001) | 0.2761 (0.6818) | 0.3726 (0.9201) | 0.2813 (0.6945) |
|  | 78 | 0.1770 (0.4371) | 0.2128 (0.5254) | 0.3055 (0.7542) | 0.2562 (0.6326) | 0.3545 (0.8753) | 0.2612 (0.6449) |
|  | 91 | 0.1520 (0.3754) | 0.1893 (0.4674) | 0.2852 (0.7043) | 0.2342 (0.5784) | 0.3344 (0.8256) | 0.2390 (0.5902) |
|  | 104 | 0.1370 (0.3384) | 0.1763 (0.4352) | 0.2738 (0.6761) | 0.2216 (0.5472) | 0.3243 (0.8006) | 0.2266 (0.5595) |
|  | 117 | 0.1216 (0.3001) | 0.1627 (0.4017) | 0.2615 (0.6456) | 0.2083 (0.5143) | 0.3139 (0.7750) | 0.2136 (0.5274) |
| 381 | 52 | 0.1998 (0.4934) | 0.2402 (0.5930) | 0.3296 (0.8138) | 0.2791 (0.6892) | 0.3791 (0.9361) | 0.2856 (0.7051) |
|  | 65 | 0.1815 (0.4482) | 0.2229 (0.5503) | 0.3141 (0.7757) | 0.2627 (0.6485) | 0.3645 (0.9000) | 0.2691 (0.6645) |
|  | 78 | 0.1611 (0.3979) | 0.2040 (0.5038) | 0.2979 (0.7355) | 0.2449 (0.6046) | 0.3484 (0.8603) | 0.2513 (0.6204) |
|  | 91 | 0.1373 (0.3389) | 0.1817 (0.4487) | 0.2783 (0.6872) | 0.2237 (0.5524) | 0.3295 (0.8136) | 0.2301 (0.5682) |
|  | 104 | 0.1102 (0.2722) | 0.1562 (0.3857) | 0.2551 (0.6299) | 0.1992 (0.4918) | 0.3082 (0.7610) | 0.2058 (0.5081) |
|  | 117 | 0.0963 (0.2377) | 0.1441 (0.3558) | 0.2441 (0.6027) | 0.1872 (0.4623) | 0.2990 (0.7382) | 0.1941 (0.4793) |

1 - The model assumes that $64.8 \%$ of the productive land in New York State is limited to production of perennial forage crops or pasture (see Table 9). However, the proportion of land needed for perennial crops and pasture is less than $64.8 \%$ in all diets. As a result, a surplus of forage and grazing land exists after calculating potential carrying capacity.
2 - Diet parameters shown in SI units (grams of meat and fat consumed per day). In non-SI units, the meat treatments are $0,2,4,6,8,10$, and 12 ounces of cooked meat per day. The fat treatments are $20 \%, 25 \%, 30 \%, 35 \%, 40 \%$ and $45 \%$ total dietary calories from fat.

Table 13. Additional variables used as predictors in multiple linear regression analysis.

| Diet |  | Regression variable |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meat | Fat | Added oil ${ }^{1}$ | Discarded fat ${ }^{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 52 | 5.1 | 6.1 |
|  | 65 | 12.1 | 0.0 |
|  | 78 | 25.2 | 0.0 |
|  | 91 | 38.2 | 0.0 |
|  | 104 | 51.3 | 0.0 |
|  | 117 | 64.3 | 0.0 |
| 63 | 52 | 0.9 | 32.1 |
|  | 65 | 1.1 | 7.8 |
|  | 78 | 7.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 91 | 20.0 | 0.0 |
|  | 104 | 33.1 | 0.0 |
|  | 117 | 46.1 | 0.0 |
| 127 | 52 | 0.9 | 55.9 |
|  | 65 | 0.9 | 27.4 |
|  | 78 | 1.6 | 13.8 |
|  | 91 | 2.4 | 2.1 |
|  | 104 | 14.9 | 0.0 |
|  | 117 | 27.9 | 0.0 |
| 190 | 52 | 0.9 | 80.0 |
|  | 65 | 0.9 | 50.8 |
|  | 78 | 1.4 | 31.6 |
|  | 91 | 2.2 | 19.8 |
|  | 104 | 3.0 | 8.1 |
|  | 117 | 9.7 | 0.0 |
| 254 | 52 | 0.9 | 118.9 |
|  | 65 | 0.9 | 89.2 |
|  | 78 | 0.9 | 59.6 |
|  | 91 | 1.5 | 43.5 |
|  | 104 | 2.3 | 31.7 |
|  | 117 | 3.1 | 20.0 |
| 317 | 52 | 0.9 | 158.1 |
|  | 65 | 0.9 | 128.2 |
|  | 78 | 0.9 | 98.2 |
|  | 91 | 0.9 | 68.2 |
|  | 104 | 1.7 | 55.3 |
|  | 117 | 2.5 | 43.6 |
| 381 | 52 | 0.9 | 196.1 |
|  | 65 | 0.9 | 167.5 |
|  | 78 | 0.9 | 137.2 |
|  | 91 | 0.9 | 107.0 |
|  | 104 | 1.0 | 79.0 |
|  | 117 | 1.8 | 67.2 |

1 - "Added oil" is a measure of the amount of oil consumed in the diet that came from soybeans grown exclusively for oil. None of the high-protein concentrate from these soybeans was used as livestock feed. 2 - "Discarded fat" is a measure of the quantity of fat produced by the model food system but excluded from the diet because of limits on total fat intake. It includes fatty portions of meat and dairy products and oil leftover from producing soybeans for protein concentrate (a livestock feed).
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[^0]:    1 - Includes all losses that occur at the consumer or foodservice level in the food system, such as over-preparation of food, plate waste, and spoilage. Estimates of loss are from Kantor (1998).

